Indicative targets Pillar3/Pillar 1 (from the Action Plan)

	1.1 - 20 % increase in R&D&I investment in blue technology domain by 2020	1.2 - Multiannual fisheries management plans for the stocks adopted and implemented at sea basin level	1.2 - Number of joint marketing initiatives aiming at establishing an AI brand for seafood products	1.3 - Creation of a shared system of major macro-regional DBs (i.e. AI Cloud)	1.3 - 100% of the water under national jurisdiction and 100% of coast lines covered by MSP & ICM and their implementing mechanisms fully in place
3.1a - Establishment of a common infrastructure platform with participation of all countries for data collection, research, laboratory analysis by end 2015					
3.1a - 10% surface coverage of Adriatic and Ionian Seas by Marine Protected areas	Verify sustainability of blue technology activities	Analysis required: foresee special zoning and/or regulation. **Aquaculture** farms could work as recolonization areas for other species.			
3.1a - Adoption of MSP and ICM strategies by EU Member State by 2017 and for coastal candidate & potential candidate by 2018	Verify sustainability of blue technology activities	Verify the coherence among fishery and ICM/MSP strategies			
3.1a - Achieving Good Ecological Status of the AI Seas by 2020	Verify sustainability of blue technology activities	Verify the coherence among fishery plans and GES targets	Synergy: the AI brand should include criteria on the sustainability of the seafood products thus contributing to the achievement of the Good Ecological Status		
3.1a - Enhancement of a marine NATURA 2000 network and a coherent & representative network of MPAs under MSFD by 2020	Verify sustainability of blue technology activities	**Commercial fishing** (esp. trawling & dredge) impact negatively on ecosystems: analysis required. **Aquaculture** farms could work as recolonization areas for other species: analysis required.			
3.1b - Reduction of marine litter in line with MSFD and 7th Environment Action Programme targets by 2020	Verify sustainability of blue technology activities	Include in Fishery Plans specific measures to: reduce littering, enhance recycle/reuse practices, enhance use of new materials			
3.1b - Reduction of anthropogenic nutrient flows to the AI seas to ensure that by 2021 eutrophication is minimised		Eutrophication has a strongly negative impact on fisheries			
3.1b - Joint contingency plan for oil spills and other large scale pollution events adopted by 2016 and measures to enable joint and coordinated emergency response implemented by 2020	Blue technologies could help to find solutions against pollution	Take into consideration Fishery impacts on risk management but also the possible contribution of fishermen to monitoring activities.			
3.2 - Establishment of transnational management plans for all terrestrial eco-regions, shared by two or more participating countries		Take into consideration **land/sea** interface			
3.2 - Enhancement of NATURA 2000 and Emerald networks in the Region		Take into consideration **land/sea** interface			

	Perfect match/ same target
	Synergy – search for virtuous feedback loops
	Neutral coexistence
	Possible conflict – solution needed/possible conditionality
	Incompatibility
	No crossing

Indicative targets Pillar3/Pillar 2 (from the Action Plan)

	2.1 - Double the current AI market share in container traffic reaching EU	2.1 - Establish a single system for maritime traffic surveillance through a unique window & common data exchange	2.1 - Increase the traffic of clean Ro-Ro, ferries, short-sea shipping and cruise ships and yachts by 20%	2.2 - Agree on a master plan for coastal road traffic	2.2 - Double cross-region regular container train connections	2.2 - Reduce time spent at regional border crossings by 50%	2.3 - Complete the agreed PECI projects	2.3 - Security of gas supply at the same level as elsewhere in EU	2.3 - "x" ports with LNG infrastructure
3.1a - Establishment of a common infrastructure platform with participation of all countries for data collection, research, laboratory analysis by end 2015	Verify environmental **sustainability**								
3.1a - 10% surface coverage of Adriatic and Ionian Seas by Marine Protected areas	Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts	Include environmental monitoring	Include environmental monitoring – foresee special traffic restrictions / limitations in MPAs	Take into consideration **land/sea** interface			Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**
3.1a - Adoption of MSP and ICM strategies by EU Member State by 2017 and for coastal candidate & potential candidate by 2018	Verify environmental **sustainability**	Include environmental monitoring	Verify the possibility to identify new routes and/or increase the traffic in the existing ones according to MSP indications		Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify possible **spatial conflicts**		Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **spatial conflicts**
3.1a - Achieving Good Ecological Status of the AI Seas by 2020	Verify environmental **sustainability**	Include environmental monitoring	Verify **coherence** with GES targets	Take into consideration **land/sea** interface	Possible positive impact on the GES if the train transport increases thus reducing the maritime transport		Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**
3.1a - Enhancement of a marine NATURA 2000 network and a coherent & representative network of MPAs under MSFD by 2020	Verify environmental **sustainability**	Include environmental monitoring	Verify environmental **sustainability**	Take into consideration **land/sea** interface			Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**
3.1b - Reduction of marine litter in line with MSFD and7th Environment Action Programme targets by 2020	Verify environmental **sustainability** and include measures to prevent/reduce littering	Include dedicated monitoring	Verify environmental **sustainability** and foresee special measures to prevent/reduce littering	Take into consideration **land/sea** interface			Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**
3.1b - Reduction of anthropogenic nutrient flows to the AI seas to ensure that by 2021 eutrophication is minimised	Verify environmental **sustainability**			Take into consideration **land/sea** interface			Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**
3.1b - Joint contingency plan for oil spills and other large scale pollution events adopted by 2016 and measures to enable joint and coordinated emergency response implemented by 2020	Verify environmental **sustainability** and **safety** issue. Consider the increase in incident **risk**.	Take into consideration incident **risk**.	Verify environmental **sustainability** and **safety** issue. Consider the increase in incident **risk**.	Take into consideration **land/sea** interface			Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**
3.2 - Establishment of transnational management plans for all terrestrial eco-regions, shared by two or more participating countries	Verify environmental **sustainability** onshore	Take into consideration **land/sea** interface	Take into consideration **land/sea** interface	Verify environmental **sustainability**	Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**
3.2 - Enhancement of NATURA 2000 and Emerald networks in the Region	Verify environmental **sustainability** onshore	Take into consideration **land/sea** interface	Take into consideration **land/sea** interface	Verify environmental **sustainability**	Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**

Commento [BB1]: I can`t agree with this explanation. Increasing in train transport is not very close linked to reducing the maritime transport. A possible better connetion between ports and train transport might have a good results for the environment, but this conection should be done properly. So, from my point of view, here should be noticed a possible conflict focusing on proper solutions.

Legend:

(dark green)	Perfect match/ same target
(green)	Synergy – search for virtuous feedback loops
(yellow)	Neutral coexistence
(orange)	Possible conflict – solution needed/possible conditionality
(red)	Total incompatibility
(grey)	No crossing

Indicative targets Pillar3/Pillar 4 (from the Action Plan)

	4.1 - 5 new macro-regional routes created	**4.1** - Conformity with EU standards and best practice by hotels and museums in the AI, to be fully accessible by special needs groups	**4.2** - 50% increase in tourist arrivals from countries outside the Region	**4.2** - 50% increase in tourism arrivals during the off-season period
3.1a - Establishment of a common infrastructure platform with participation of all countries for data collection, research, laboratory analysis by end 2015				
3.1a - 10% surface coverage of Adriatic and Ionian Seas by Marine Protected areas	Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts		Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts	Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts
3.1a - Adoption of MSP and ICM strategies by EU Member State by 2017 and for coastal candidate & potential candidate by 2018	Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts		Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts	Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts
3.1a - Achieving Good Ecological Status of the AI Seas by 2020	Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify **coherence** with GES targets	Verify **coherence** with GES targets
3.1a - Enhancement of a marine NATURA 2000 network and a coherent & representative network of MPAs under MSFD by 2020	Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts		Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts	Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts
3.1b - Reduction of marine litter in line with MSFD and7th Environment Action Programme targets by 2020	Verify environmental **sustainability** and foresee special measures to prevent/reduce littering		Verify environmental **sustainability** and foresee special measures to prevent/reduce littering	Verify environmental **sustainability** and foresee special measures to prevent/reduce littering
3.1b - Reduction of anthropogenic nutrient flows to the AI seas to ensure that by 2021 eutrophication is minimised	Verify environmental **sustainability**		Verify environmental **sustainability**	Verify environmental **sustainability**
3.1b - Joint contingency plan for oil spills and other large scale pollution events adopted by 2016 and measures to enable joint and coordinated emergency response implemented by 2020	Verify environmental **sustainability** and **safety** issue. Consider the increase in incident **risk**.		Verify environmental **sustainability** and **safety** issue. Consider the increase in incident **risk**.	Verify environmental **sustainability** and **safety** issue. Consider the increase in incident **risk**.
3.2 - Establishment of transnational management plans for all terrestrial eco-regions, shared by two or more participating countries	Verify environmental **sustainability** onshore		Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts	Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts
3.2 - Enhancement of NATURA 2000 and Emerald networks in the Region	Verify environmental **sustainability** onshore		Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts	Verify environmental **sustainability** and possible **space** conflicts

	Perfect match/ same target
	Synergy – search for virtuous feedback loops
	Neutral coexistence
	Possible conflict – solution needed/possible conditionality
	Total incompatibility
	No crossing